

2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 23(g), Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P., previously appointed by the Court as Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel, are appointed as Counsel for the Settlement Class. These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Settlement Class.

3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, over the equitable non-monetary relief contained in Paragraph 4 herein, over the Action, and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Settlement Class.

4. Plaintiffs have filed a complaint in the Action alleging that Sanoh conspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Automotive Steel Tubes, and Sanoh has denied Plaintiffs’ allegations and represented they would assert defenses thereto. Sanoh has entered into the Agreement to settle the Action with respect to Automotive Steel Tubes, without admitting liability, to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, to obtain the releases on behalf of Sanoh and Releasees, orders, and judgment contemplated by the Agreement and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against Sanoh with respect to Automotive Steel Tubes. Sanoh has agreed for a period of twenty-four (24) months from the date of the Court’s final judgment of the Settlement not to engage in conduct that constitutes a *per se* violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (whether characterized as price-fixing, market allocation, bid rigging, or otherwise) with respect to the sale of Automotive Steel Tubes as such terms are defined in the Agreement. Pursuant to the Agreement, Sanoh has agreed to provide specified monetary compensation to Plaintiffs (U.S. \$8,360,000.00, plus interest thereon pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement) and to cooperate with Plaintiffs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Action.

5. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23.

6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against Sanoh, with Plaintiffs and Sanoh to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.

7. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, in an individual or representative or derivative capacity, against the Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

8. The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

9. Neither the Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

10. The notice given to the Settlement Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all

persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) and the requirements of due process.

11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds, attorneys' fees or reimbursement of costs and expenses made by Plaintiffs' Counsel; (d) any application for incentive awards for the Plaintiffs; and (e) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to members of the Settlement Class.

12. No one has timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.

13. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their respective positions ex ante.

14. The Escrow Account, into which Sanoh has deposited assets with a total value of US \$8,360,000.00 as the Settlement Amount (as defined in Paragraphs 15 and 25 of the Agreement), plus accrued interest thereon and net of any expenses incurred as contemplated in Paragraph 26 of the Agreement, is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

15. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b) that this Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a final judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement.

16. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including Sanoh, to contest certification of any other class proposed in the MDL Litigation. The Court's findings in this Judgment shall have

no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the MDL Litigation. No party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any class.

17. Accordingly, the Court hereby determines that there is no just reason for delay and hereby directs entry of this Judgment as a final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b) and directs the Clerk to enter Judgment forthwith.

Dated: September 29, 2020

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge